

Научная статья | Original paper

Practicum as an activity-based technology for students to master methods of designing joint learning activity for schoolchildren (using the example of the Master's program “Cultural-Historical Psychology and Activity-Based Approach in Education”)

V.V. Rubtsov¹, I.M. Ulanovskaya² ✉

¹ Moscow State University of Psychology and Education, Moscow, Russian Federation

² Federal Scientific Center for Psychological and Interdisciplinary Research, Moscow, Russian Federation

✉ ilanovskaya@mail.ru

Abstract

Context and relevance. This article presents the results of mastering the Practicum by students enrolled in the Master's program “Cultural-Historical Psychology and Activity-Based Approach in Education.” Four sessions of the Practicum are held at Moscow Experimental School No. 91, where the educational process is organized in accordance with the principles of D. B. Elkonin and V. V. Davydov's theory of developmental learning. Immersion in the educational environment of a school of developmental learning creates a unique opportunity to master the principles of the theory of learning activity and practical methods of its organization. **Objective.** The article aims to demonstrate the effectiveness of the Practicum training system for mastering the methods of designing joint educational activities for schoolchildren. **Hypothesis.** The Practicum training system ensures mastery of the basic concepts of cultural-historical psychology and the principles of organizing learning activity; this is manifested in the students' productive work— drafting of scenarios for a lesson implemented as a joint solution of a learning problem. **Methods and materials.** The study included a content analysis of texts (100 reports on Practicum 1 and 100 reports on Practicum 2), as well as an expert analysis of 30 lesson scenarios. The sample included master's degree students from the UNESCO Department «Cultural and Historical Psychology» (2017–2025 intakes). **Results.** It was shown that immersion of master's degree students in the educational environment of a developmental education school, which ensures practical mastery of the theoretical concepts of cultural-historical psychology and activity theory, allows future specialists to formulate and productively solve the problems of constructing lessons in the form of joint learning activity of schoolchildren. **Conclusions.** The development and description of lesson scenarios, including the organization of joint work of schoolchildren in the process of setting and solving learning problems, the subsequent implementation of these scenarios in the real educational process based on reflection, analysis, and evaluation of results contribute to the development of master's degree students' ability to design and implement productive forms of organizing joint educational activities.

Keywords: principles of cultural-historical theory and activity theory, master's degree practicum, school educational environment, scenarios of joint learning activity organization, design, joint learning activity of schoolchildren

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the teaching staff and director of Moscow School No. 91, N.Yu. Krasavina, for their comprehensive assistance.

For citation: Rubtsov, V.V., Ulanovskaya, I.M. (2026). Practicum as an activity-based technology for students to master methods of designing joint learning activity for schoolchildren (using the example of the Master's program “Cultural-Historical Psychology and Activity-Based Approach in Education”). *Cultural-Historical Psychology*, 22(1), 90–97. <https://doi.org/10.17759/chp.2026220110>

Практикум как деятельностная технология освоения студентами способов проектирования совместной учебной деятельности школьников (на примере программы магистратуры «Культурно-историческая психология и деятельностный подход в образовании»)

В.В. Рубцов¹, И.М. Улановская² ✉

¹ Московский государственный психолого-педагогический университет, Москва, Российская Федерация

² Федеральный научный центр психологических и междисциплинарных исследований,
Москва, Российская Федерация

✉ ilanovskaya@mail.ru

Резюме

Контекст и актуальность. Представлены результаты освоения Практикума студентами, обучающимися по магистерской программе «Культурно-историческая психология и деятельностный подход в образовании». Четыре сессии Практикума проводятся на базе экспериментальной школы № 91 г. Москвы, где образовательный процесс организован в соответствии с положениями теории развивающего обучения Д.Б. Эльконина — В.В. Давыдова. Погружение в образовательную среду школы развивающего обучения создает уникальную возможность инструментально овладеть принципами теории учебной деятельности и практическими способами ее организации. **Цель.** Доказать эффективность системы практикумов для освоения магистрантами способов проектирования совместной учебной деятельности школьников. **Гипотеза.** Система практикумов обеспечивает овладение базовыми понятиями культурно-исторической психологии и принципами организации учебной деятельности; это проявляется в продуктивной работе магистрантов — разработке сценариев фрагментов урока, реализуемых как совместное решение учебной задачи. **Методы и материалы.** В исследовании проведен контент-анализ текстов (100 отчетов по Практикуму 1 и 100 отчетов по Практикуму 2), а также экспертный анализ 30 сценариев фрагментов уроков. Выборка — магистранты кафедры КИП ЮНЕСКО (наборы 2017—2025 гг.). **Результаты.** Показано, что погружение магистрантов в образовательную среду школы развивающего обучения, обеспечивающее практическое освоение теоретических понятий культурно-исторической психологии и теории деятельности, позволяет будущим специалистам ставить и продуктивно решать задачи сценирования фрагментов уроков в форме совместной учебной деятельности школьников. **Выводы.** Разработка и описание сценариев уроков, включающих организацию совместной работы учащихся в процессе постановки и решения учебных задач, последующая реализация этих сценариев в реальном образовательном процессе с опорой на рефлекссию, анализ и оценку результатов способствуют формированию у магистрантов способности к проектированию и реализации продуктивных форм организации совместной учебной деятельности.

Ключевые слова: принципы культурно-исторической теории и теории деятельности, практикум магистрантов, образовательная среда школы, сценирование деятельности, сценарий урока, проектирование, совместная учебная деятельность школьников

Благодарности. Авторы благодарят за всестороннюю помощь педагогический состав и директора школы № 91 г. Москвы Н.Ю. Красавину.

Для цитирования: Рубцов, В.В., Улановская, И.М. (2026). Практикум как деятельностная технология освоения студентами способов проектирования совместной учебной деятельности школьников (на примере программы магистратуры «Культурно-историческая психология и деятельностный подход в образовании»). *Культурно-историческая психология*, 22(1), 90–97. <https://doi.org/10.17759/chp.2026220110>

Introduction

The introduction of new educational standards for primary and secondary school education (2010) made it possible to more clearly identify key deficiencies in the traditional teacher education system. The new

standards established requirements for meta-subject and personal achievements, while teacher training tools remained primarily focused on developing specific knowledge and skills.

Achieving meta-subject and personal results (E.V. Vysotskaya et al., 2021) presupposes the use of

all the resources of a comprehensive school educational environment. — primarily the organization of children’s learning activity and the necessary restructuring of teacher-student relationships (V.V. Rubtsov, V.I. Panov et al., 2007). The technology that most consistently ensures the organization of students’ learning activity and constructive interaction between students and teachers in solving learning problems is the technology of developmental learning (V.V. Davydov, 1972; 1996; D.B. Elkonin, 1966; 1989; V.V. Rubtsov, 2024a; 2024b: 1996; G.A. Tsukerman, 2020; etc.).

Many years of experience in implementing developmental learning system (DL) permit the researchers to formulate a general approach to the training and retraining of teachers. We proceed from the fact that if a teacher develops children’s learning activity, ensuring the interiorization of a collectively distributed form of activity and turning it into an individual one, then he should master a new type of his own pedagogical activity in a similar way - in the practical solution of corresponding problems in a really functioning system of developmental education, in cooperation with methodologists (active teachers) at the stages of lesson design, implementation, analysis, and evaluation (V.V. Rubtsov et al., 2011).

The UNESCO Department of Cultural and Historical Psychology at the Moscow State University of Psychology and Education has developed a master’s program, “Cultural and Historical Psychology and the Activity-Based Approach in Education,” which provides activity-based and collective-activity-based immersion in the theory and practice of developmental learning. The training is based on master’s students’ work with learning problems, which they encounter during their internships in educational institutions (V.V. Rubtsov et al., 2015).

The program includes four practicums, where master’s students are presented with specific research, practical, and analytical tasks. The result is a report and/or a developed work product.

Description of practicums

Practicum 1. Analysis of the educational environment of School No. 91

This Practicum is held at the beginning of the first year of study and focuses on the educational environment of Moscow’s School No. 91, where the DL curriculum for the primary and secondary schools has been developed, tested, and advanced for many years. Master’s students study the school’s environment: its structure, the interactions between participants, become familiar with the teaching staff and administration, and communicate with students and parents. While tools for systematically describing the characteristics of the educational environment exist, these tools are not offered to

master’s students “off the shelf.” They are introduced at the final stage, when observation results are compared, refined, and discussed in terms of organizing joint learning activities between adults (teachers) and children, as well as the children themselves.

Practicum 2. Analysis of the lesson

This Practicum aims to develop and refine the tools for qualitative lesson analysis: observing and recording the lesson using an analysis framework, discussing the results, and identifying lesson characteristics related to:

- features of subject content;
- the teacher’s methods for organizing students’ learning activity (interaction and collaboration);
- the nature of the teacher-student relationship.

Particular attention is paid to the presentation of the content: setting the learning problem, addressing problematic issues, organizing research activity, as well as the means for developing students’ independence and initiative, and their readiness for dialogue and interaction in group work. Group discussion of the results of the lessons’ analysis by master’s students leads to the identification of lesson characteristics specific to the DL system

Practicum 3. Diagnosis of meta-subject outcomes

This Practicum is devoted to the diagnosis of meta-subject outcomes in primary education (I.M. Ulanovskaya, 2015). Master’s students gain the opportunity to observe and evaluate the effects of learning in the DL system in terms of students’ cognitive, personal, and social development; master psychodiagnostic methods, as well as data processing and analysis procedures. This Practicum allows students to correlate the theoretical propositions of cultural-historical psychology with empirical results of educational practice of the DL school, and demonstrate specific manifestations of the thesis on the leading role of learning in development: the characteristics of the educational environment (Practicum 1) and the specific features of the educational process (Practicum 2) are associated with the development of significant new developments in children by the end of primary school—the ability to learn, cognitive reflection, planning, assessment and self-assessment and readiness for productive interaction with peers.

Practicum 4. Design and implementation of a lesson fragment scenario

Practicum 4 is the final workshop. It involves a creative solution to a professional problem: independently developing a scenario for a lesson fragment in the form of group work by students and implementing it in a concrete class.

In terms of content, Practicum 4 requires:

- deep immersion in the subject matter, as the scenario must be integrated into the actual learning process and consistent with the logic of the topic being studied;

- practical mastery of the principles of developmental learning, which allows one to identify the core of the learning problem in the subject content and determine those actions that can be deployed as a joint solution;

- close interaction with the teacher, as it is necessary to consider the age and individual characteristics of the class, learning motivation, established forms of interaction, and the pace of work.

This Practicum is also conducted in a group format for master's students: this gives them the opportunity to "live through" the scenario as participants, clarify the instructions, and check the clarity of the materials and the appropriateness of the difficulty.

A lesson fragment scenario as a project for organizing joint learning activity

Within the framework of Practicum 4, a "script" is understood not as a sequence of teacher remarks, but as a project for organizing joint learning activity—with a specific learning problem, a system of conditions, a distribution of actions, and mechanisms for reflection and evaluation of results (Rubtsov et al., 2021). The script includes the following mandatory components:

1. Subject content and educational concept:

- what concept/method of action is being mastered;
- what essential relationships should students discover;
- what constitutes a "substantive increase" based on the results of the fragment.

2. Learning objective (problem situation):

- formulation of the problem as requiring research, hypothesis testing, and comparison of methods;
- indication of conditions/constraints that make simple reproduction of existing knowledge impossible;
- criteria for successful solution that are clear to students.

3. Organization of group work:

- Reasons for grouping (different results, different roles, different pieces of information, etc.);
- Method of distributing actions/operations/functions (analyst, recorder, speaker, "conditions controller," "criteria expert," etc.);
- Interaction regulations (time frames, discussion rules, recording proposals).

4. Materials and resources:

- Texts, cards, diagrams, data fragments, tables, outline maps, etc.;
- Means of recording a common solution (poster, general diagram, argument table, route sheet);
- Indication of what is the group's product.

5. Dynamics of the stages:

- Introduction to the problem and its problematization;

- Group search;

- Presentation and comparison of group solutions;

- Development of a common method/generalization (under the guidance of the teacher as organizer);

- Reinforcing/transferring the method to new material (microtask).

6. Reflection and evaluation:

- reflection questions (what was difficult, what helped, how did they come to agreement);

- evaluation of the result, but also of the method of joint work (how the tasks were distributed, how the hypotheses were tested, how a common solution was reached);

- recording the result in the "language of concepts" (what exactly was discovered/established).

7. The role of the teacher:

- the teacher acts as an organizer and moderator: sets the framework, supports meaningful discussion, helps maintain criteria, and "returns" to the conditions of the problem;

- direct communication of the message of a ready-made solution is allowed only at the end of the problem solving process at the generalization/recording stage.

It is this structure that ensures the transition from "group activities" to joint learning activity as a means of mastering the content.

Research hypothesis and objectives

Research hypothesis: The system of master's degree practicums ensures mastery of the basic concepts of cultural-historical psychology and the principles of organizing learning activity. This is reflected in the students' productive work—the development of lesson scenarios implemented as a joint solution of learning problems by children (Practicum 4).

Research objectives:

- To identify and assess the level of proficiency in the basic concepts of the DL system based on an analysis of reports for Practicum 1;

- To identify and assess the level of proficiency in the basic concepts of the DL system based on an analysis of lesson fragment scenarios (Practicum 4).

Materials and methods:

1. Content analysis of texts (100 reports for Practicum 1 and 100 reports for Practicum 2);

2. Expert analysis of lesson fragment scenarios.

Sample: Master's students of the UNESCO Department of Information and Communication Technologies (2017–2025 cohorts).

Results

Objective 1. Content analysis of reports for Practicum 1

To evaluate the reports, a content analysis was conducted on 100 texts from a random sample of reports prepared by Master's students over nine years of program implementation. Below are typical judgments demonstrating the master's degree students' focus on the external manifestations of the "atmosphere" of the DL school, while insufficiently analyzing the factors that ensure the developmental nature of the educational environment.

According to the results, 100% of the texts highly praised the psychological climate: "The teenagers' voices expressed interest, energy, and a feeling that school was part of their lives, not an obligation." 72% of the reports noted that "lessons are noisy," with half concluding that "this distracts children, and they may not hear the teacher." 53% of the reports noted that the teacher "rarely makes comments," "is open to dialogue, ready to listen, discuss, and support." 64% of the reports used the category "mistake"; a common formulation was: "children have no fear of making mistakes." 84% of the texts included the category "evaluation" related to errors: "no evaluation - no fear of being punished by parents or ridiculed by peers; the child has the opportunity and desire to ask questions." Negative evaluative judgments often fall under the category of "visual aids" (21% of reports): "homemade, sloppy diagrams," "why not buy large, beautiful aids?" The remaining 79% of texts contain no comments about visual aids, even though the graduate students attended lessons and were able to observe the emergence of diagrams as a result of group discussion and joint recording of content development.

Some studies (33%) contain judgments demonstrating a willingness to interpret observed features within the logic of the learning process (for example, an indication that the teacher acts as the organizer of the study). However, such judgments often remain abstract: it is unclear what specific observable characteristics and mechanisms of learning activity underlie them. One report asserts that "the main method of work is posing problematic situations," which is theoretically correct, but not always supported by an analysis of specific episodes of the lesson; sometimes, theory is "superimposed" on fragments of observations without revealing the psychological meaning of the situation. Overall, the distribution of judgments and the modality of assessments allow us to conclude:

- Master's students confidently identify the external characteristics of the educational environment at School No. 91 and positively evaluate the psychological climate;
- Factors associated with the organization of the educational process as a developmental learning system are interpreted primarily at a mundane level and are related

to the observer's individual experience (for example, group work is described as "noise," and collective diagramming as "sloppy pictures");

- 33% of reports use scientific categories characterizing a developmental learning environment; however, these categories rarely serve as a tool for analyzing a specific learning situation and do not reveal its psychological mechanisms, functioning as a set of terms.

- Thus, immersion in the theory of learning activity through lectures and seminars and the study of relevant scientific literature by Master's students does not ensure the active mastery of the principles of developmental learning and their "recognition" in the practice of the real educational process.

Objective 2. Expert scenario analysis (Practicum 4)

Practicum 2 – lesson analysis – immerses master's students in the mechanisms that shape the educational environment of the DL school: specific educational content, presented as a constant problematization and search for solutions; specific forms of child-adult relationships in which the teacher organizes and directs the students' search activity; and specific interpersonal relationships manifested in supporting and considering the actions of others when constructing their own learning activity. Practicum 3 allows master's students to discover the connection between the educational tools they observe in lessons and the new psychological developments at the meta-subject and personal levels that emerge as a result of students' immersion in the educational environment of the DL school. Therefore, only after mastering the content of Practicums 1–3 can master's students be offered the following creative work. In Practicum 4, master's students were presented with a task requiring a logical-subject and logical-psychological analysis of the content, defining a system of basic concepts, and designing problematic situations that involved collective discussion by the students themselves, trial-and-error activities, hypothesizing, analysis, and reflection. This task can only be solved in collaboration with an experienced teacher familiar with the subject matter and methods for organizing joint work of the students. Over the course of the program, more than 50 scenarios for organizing student joint problem solving were proposed.

An expert evaluation was conducted at a methodological seminar for teachers at School No. 91. Thirty scenarios for lesson fragments organized as collaborative work among student groups were analyzed. The following were the subjects of the assessment:

- determination of the subject content of the learning problem and the possibility of its disclosure in the form of a group search and research task;
- Method of distributing actions/operations/functions/roles among participants;

- Validity of the need for group interaction to solve the problem;
- Appropriateness of the task to the age, individual, and motivational characteristics of the students. The analysis revealed that the proposed scenarios implement two basic models of interaction organization, differing in the ways in which functions are divided and, accordingly, in the specifics of communication in the overall problem-solving process.

Model 1. Individual search group comparison and coordination

In the first stage, students perform orientation and search activity individually and obtain their own results. In the second stage, the teacher forms groups of students with different solutions; the group's task is to compare the results, identify the reasons for the differences, formulate criteria, and reach a common decision. In this model, communication is primarily included in the stages of monitoring, evaluation, and reflective analysis.

Example 1: constructing a "word schema." Students individually analyze a compound word morpheme-by-morpheme; then, in groups, they discuss the differences in the schemas and develop a coordinated solution based on the criteria and rules.

Example 2: the "trial" of Peter the Great (history lesson, summarizing the topic "The Main Directions of Russia's Foreign and Domestic Policy in the Late 17th Century"). The class is divided into roles: prosecutors, defense attorneys, judge, jury, and spectators. Restrictions on arguments and rules for presenting evidence encourage meaningful discussion, student engagement, and the need for coordinated action (including appealing to the "audience" as a resource for argumentation). The product is not only a "verdict" but also a comprehensive system of arguments and criteria for evaluating historical actions.

Model 2. Joint search as a solution prerequisite (distributed information/joint actions)

The group is given a problem that fundamentally cannot be solved individually, since the information, materials, or functions are distributed among the participants. The solution is generated through meaningful communication, mutual requests, and coordination of actions. In this model, communication is the mechanism for organizing the activity itself and serves as a means of constructing a common method of action.

Example 1: composing a text based on questions with distributed fragments. The text is divided into sentences/fragments based on the characters, with each participant assigned to a "personal" character. Based on the content of their "personal" character's remarks, each participant must develop a hypothesis about the content of the text as a whole and test it by asking other participants a limited number of questions. The group's prod-

uct is a coherent, logically consistent text and the basis on which it was compiled.

Example 2: "Natural Zones" (Geography, 7th grade). Groups receive sections of a contour map with coordinates and must identify the climate, topography, soils, vegetation, and fauna of the region. A shared "bank" of flora and fauna images becomes a resource and a subject of competition; disputes over the "belonging" of images provoke meaningful discussions between groups, in which the characteristics of the concept of "natural zone" are clarified and connections between coordinates, altitudinal zonation, and natural complexes are discovered. The result is a finalized map of the continent with the distribution of natural zones, corresponding flora and fauna samples, and a system of selection arguments.

Conclusions

The analysis of the scenarios created by the master's students groups allows us to draw the following conclusions:

- Master's students master the fundamentals of designing forms of joint educational activities, which is reflected in the quality of the scenarios presented;
- Developing a scenario for a lesson fragment in the form of a joint solution to a learning problem is impossible without the practical mastery of educational technologies for organizing a lesson (Practicum 2) and understanding the connections between educational technologies of the lesson and their meta-subject and personal outcomes (Practicum 3);
- Organizing Practicum 4 through ongoing communication with teachers and methodologists improves the quality of scenarios: cyclical feedback allows for the clarification of the educational task, criteria, roles, and interaction regulations;
- Scenario-based presentation of a lesson fragment in the form of a joint solution to a learning problem requires practical mastery of the following research methods:
 - logical-subject analysis of the educational content on which the lesson is based, with the goal of identifying gaps and contradictions that should become the basis for the future problem;
 - logical-psychological analysis of the activity, the organization of which can enable students to discover the sought-after contradiction;
 - transforming highlighted aspects of the subject content into a problem for collaborative solution. This is a complex stage that can only be mastered through practical work, as it requires consideration of the age, motivational, and social characteristics of a particular class, as well as the level of subject matter proficiency that should enable students to identify and overcome content contradictions through a collaborative search for a solution.

• Based on the results of the lesson segment, the master's degree student receives feedback in the form of a discussion with the teacher and methodologists, as well as the results of the children group work. This allows the master's degree students to conduct a reflective analysis of their work and evaluate its success. The main criterion here is the students' engagement in the joint search for a solution and progress in mastering the educational content.

• Master's degree students' immersion in the educational environment of the DL school through specially organized research activity ensures not only a deeper understanding of theoretical concepts but also the development of the competencies necessary for solving practical developmental learning problems based on the design and simulation of collaborative forms of learning activity.

Список источников / References

1. Высоцкая, Е.В., Зак, А.З., Митина, О.В., Поливанова, Н.И., Ривина, И.В., Улановская, И.М., Цукерман, Г.А., Чудинова, Е.В. (2015). *Оценка метапредметных компетенций выпускников начальной школы*. М.: ГБОУ ВПО «МГППУ». Rubtsov, V.V., Vysotskaya, E.V., Zak, A.Z., Mitina, O.V., Polivanova, N.I., Rivina, I.V., Ulanovskaya, I.M., Tsukerman, G.A., Chudinova, E.V., Yanishevskaya, M.A. (2015). *Assessment of meta-subject competencies of primary school graduates*. Moscow: VPO MGPPU. (In Russ.).
2. Давыдов, В.В. (1972). *Виды обобщения в обучении*. М.: Педагогика. Davidov, V.V. (1972). *Types of generalization in learning*. Moscow: Pedagogika. (In Russ.).
3. Давыдов, В.В. (1996). *Теория развивающего обучения*. М.: ИНТОР, 1996. 554 с. Davidov, V.V. (1996). *Theory of developmental learning*. Moscow: INTOR. (In Russ.).
4. Рубцов, В.В. (2011). Психолого-педагогическая подготовка учителя для новой школы. В: Психология образования в XXI веке: теория и практика: *Материалы Международной научно-практической конференции*. Издательство ВГСПУ «Перемена». Rubtsov, V.V. (2011). Psychological and pedagogical training of teachers for the new school. In: *Psychology of education in the 21st century: theory and practice: Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference*. Publishing house of VSPU "Peremena". (In Russ.).
5. Рубцов, В.В. (2024). Развитие и обучение в контексте социальных взаимодействий: Л. Выготский vs Ж. Пиаже. *Культурно-историческая психология*, 20(1), 77–88. <https://doi.org/10.17759/chp.2024200111> Rubtsov, V.V. (2024). Development and learning in the context of social interactions: L. Vygotsky vs. J. Piaget. *Cultural-historical psychology*, 20(1), 77–88. <https://doi.org/10.17759/chp.2024200111>. (In Russ.).
6. Рубцов, В.В. (1996). *Основы социально-генетической психологии*. М.: Институт практической психологии, Воронеж: МОДЭК. Rubtsov, V.V. (1996). *Fundamentals of Social-Genetic Psychology*. Moscow: Institute of Practical Psychology, Voronezh: MODEK. (In Russ.).
7. Рубцов, В.В. (2024). *Социально-генетическая психология учебных взаимодействий: Избранные статьи, выступления, проекты. Том 1*. М.: Городец. URL: <https://psyjournals.ru/nonserialpublications/sgpli2024> (дата обращения: 10.09.2024). Rubtsov, V.V. (2024). *Social-genetic psychology of learning interactions: Selected articles, speeches, projects. Vol. 1*. Moscow: Gorodets. (In Russ.). URL: <https://psyjournals.ru/nonserialpublications/sgpli2024> (viewed: 10.09.2024).
8. Рубцов, В.В., Высоцкая, Е.В., Зак, А.З., Крицкий, А.Г., Поливанова, Н.И., Ривина, И.В., Семенова, М.А., Улановская, И.М., Цукерман, Г.А., Янишевская, М.А. (2021). *Совместная учебная деятельность и развитие детей*. М.: ФГБУ ВО МГППУ. Rubtsov, V.V., Vysotskaya, E.V., Zak, A.Z., Kritsky, A.G., Polivanova, N.I., Rivina, I.V., Semenova, M.A., Ulanovskaya, I.M., Tsukerman, G.A., Yanishevskaya, M.A. (2021). *Collaborative learning activity and children's development*. Moscow: FGBU VO MGPPU. (In Russ.).
9. Рубцов, В.В., Гуружапов, В.А., Макаровская, З.В., Максимов, Л.К. (2015). Компетентностно-деятельностный подход к проектированию и разработке новой модульной ОПОП. *Вестник практической психологии образования*, 12(2), 7–16. URL: [https://psyjournals.ru/journals/bppe/archive/2015_n2/Rubtsov_Guruzhapov_Makarovskaya_\(дата_обращения:_05.02.2026\)](https://psyjournals.ru/journals/bppe/archive/2015_n2/Rubtsov_Guruzhapov_Makarovskaya_(дата_обращения:_05.02.2026)). Rubtsov, V.V., Guruzhapov, V.A., Makarovskaya, Z.V., Maksimov, L.K. (2015). Competence-activity approach to the design and development of a new modular educational program. *Bulletin of Practical Psychology of Education*, 12(2), 7–16. URL: [https://psyjournals.ru/journals/bppe/archive/2015_n2/Rubtsov_Guruzhapov_Makarovskaya_\(viewed:_05.02.2026\)](https://psyjournals.ru/journals/bppe/archive/2015_n2/Rubtsov_Guruzhapov_Makarovskaya_(viewed:_05.02.2026))
10. Рубцов, В.В., Панов, В.И., Поливанова, Н.И., Улановская, И.М., Ривина, И.В., Ермакова, И.В., Зак, А.З., Высоцкая, Е.В., Яркина, О.В., Павлова, В.В. (2007). *Образовательная среда школы как фактор психического развития учащихся*. М: Обнинск: ИГ-СОЦИН. Rubtsov, V.V., Panov, V.I., Polivanova, N.I., Ulanovskaya, I.M., Rivina, I.V., Ermakova, I.V., Zak, A.Z., Vysotskaya, E.V., Yarkina, O.V., Pavlova, V.V. (2007). *Educational environment of the school as a factor in the psychological development of students*. Moscow – Obninsk: IG-SOTSIN. (In Russ.).
11. Эльконин, Д.Б. (1989). *Избранные психологические труды*. М.: Педагогика. Elkonin, D.B. (1989). *Selected psychological works*. Moscow: Pedagogika. (In Russ.).
12. Эльконин, Д.Б. (Ред.). (1966). *Возрастные возможности усвоения знаний*. М.: Просвещение. Elkonin, D.B. (Ed.) (1966). *Age-related possibilities for acquiring knowledge*. Moscow: Prosveschenie. (In Russ.).
13. Цукерман, Г.А. (2020). Совместное учебное действие: решенные и нерешенные вопросы. *Психологическая наука и образование*, 25(4), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.17759/pse.2020250405> Zuckerman, G.A. (2020). Joint learning action: resolved and unresolved issues. *Psychological Science and Education*, 25(4), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.17759/pse.2020250405> (In Russ.).

Information about the authors

Vitaly V. Rubtsov, Doctor of Science (Psychology), Professor, Academician of the Russian Academy of Education, President, Head of the International UNESCO Department “Cultural-Historical Psychology of Childhood”, Moscow State University of Psychology and Education; President, Federation of Educational Psychologists of Russia, Moscow, Russian Federation, ORCID: 0000-0002-2050-8587, e-mail: rubtsovvv@mgppu.ru

Irina M. Ulanovskaya, Candidate of Sciences (Psychology), Leading Researcher Psychology, Federal Scientific Center for Psychological and Interdisciplinary Research (FSC PIR), Moscow, Russian Federation, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6605-0615>, e-mail: iulanovskaya@mail.ru

Информация об авторах

Виталий Владимирович Рубцов, доктор психологических наук, профессор, академик РАО, заведующий кафедрой ЮНЕСКО «Культурно-историческая психология детства», Московский государственный психолого-педагогический университет (ФГБОУ ВО МГППУ), президент, Федерация психологов образования России, Москва, Российская Федерация, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2050-8587>, e-mail: rubtsovvv@mgppu.ru

Ирина Михайловна Улановская, кандидат психологических наук, ведущий научный сотрудник, лаборатория психологии младших школьников, Федеральный научный центр психологических и междисциплинарных исследований, Москва, Российская Федерация, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6605-0615>, e-mail: iulanovskaya@mail.ru

Contribution of the authors

All authors participated in the discussion of the results and approved the final text of the manuscript.

Вклад авторов

Все авторы приняли участие в анализе и обсуждении результатов и согласовали окончательный текст рукописи.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Конфликт интересов

Авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов.

Поступила в редакцию 06.02.2026

Поступила после рецензирования 24.02.2026

Принята к публикации 01.03.2026

Опубликована 30.03.2026

Received 2026.02.06

Revised 2026.02.24

Accepted 2026.03.01

Published 2026.03.30